

Supporting Information

for Adv. Funct. Mater., DOI: 10.1002/adfm.202207763

Hierarchically Assembled Counter Electrode for Fiber Solar Cell Showing Record Power Conversion Efficiency

Xinyue Kang, Zhengfeng Zhu, Tiancheng Zhao, Weijie Zhai, Jianchen Xu, Zhengmeng Lin, Kaiwen Zeng, Bingjie Wang, Xuemei Sun, Peining Chen,* and Huisheng Peng*

Supporting Information

Hierarchically Assembled Counter Electrode for Fiber Solar Cell Showing Record Power Conversion Efficiency

Xinyue Kang[†], Zhengfeng Zhu[†], Tiancheng Zhao, Weijie Zhai, Jianchen Xu, Zhengmeng Lin, Kaiwen Zeng, Bingjie Wang, Xuemei Sun, Peining Chen* and Huisheng Peng*

X. Kang, Dr. Z. Zhu, T. Zhao, W. Zhai, J. Xu, Z. Lin, Dr. K. Zeng, Prof. B. Wang, Prof. X. Sun, Prof. P. Chen, Prof. H. Peng State Key Laboratory of Molecular Engineering of Polymers, Department of Macromolecular Science and Laboratory of Advanced Materials, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China, E-mail: peiningc@fudan.edu.cn; penghs@fudan.edu.cn.

[†]*The first two authors contributed equally to this work.*



Figure S1. N₂ absorption-desorption isotherms of CNT.



Figure S2. Photograph of reels of primary CNT fibers.



Figure S3. SEM image of CNT fiber (with diameter of \sim 150 µm) at low (a) and high (b) magnifications.



Figure S4. SEM image of carbon fiber (with diameter of \sim 150 µm) at low (a) and high (b) magnifications.



Figure S5. CV curves of electrochemical activity area measurement in the 20 mM $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ and 0.2 M KCl at 20 mV·s⁻¹.



Figure S6. Optical (a) and fluorescence (b) micrographs of HCNT fiber with ethanol solution containing rhodamine indicate that the electrolyte infiltrated into microchannels.



Figure S7. *J-V* curves of N719-sensitized fiber solar cells (with I^-/I_3^- electrolyte) using HCNT and CNT fibers as counter electrodes.



Figure S8. Structure of FDSSC and flow direction of electrons in external circuit.



Figure S9. IPCE spectra of HCNT@Pt-based FDSSC.



Figure S10. The curves of simulated ion concentration inside the fiber electrodes along the distance from electrodes surface. The simulation was performed by COMSOL Multiphysics 6.0. The initial concentration of Co^{3+} was 1 M. The diffusion coefficient was 1×10^{-10} m²/s.



Figure S11. The open-circuit voltage decay curves of HCNT-based and CNT-based FDSSCs.



Figure S12. *J-V* curves of the FDSSCs measured under Ar atmosphere and open air conditions.



Figure S13. Variations of PCEs for FDSSCs under different humidity levels.



Figure S14. *I-V* curves of the fabric modules with increasing numbers of FDSSCs connected in parallel.



Figure S15. *I-V* curves of the fabric modules with increasing numbers of FDSSCs connected in series.



Figure S16. The *J-V* curve of the photovoltaic textile measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G.



Figure S17. (a) Scheme to a textile woven by FDSSCs and FLIBs. (b) Photocharging curve of FDSSCs under AM 1.5 (red line) and discharging curve at 0.5 mA (black line) of the FLIB. Inset: operating mechanism of electron flow along conducting wire from the photoanode to the negative electrode.



Figure S18. (a) SEM image of primary CNT fiber with diameter of 60 μ m. (b) SEM image of HCNT-1 fiber with diameter of 150 μ m.



Figure S19. (a) SEM image of primary CNT fiber with diameter of 20 μ m. (b) SEM image of HCNT-3 fiber with diameter of 150 μ m.



Figure S20. Statistical distribution of the channel size for microchannels in HCNT-1 fiber (average size of $3 \pm 1.97 \mu m$) (a), HCNT-2 fiber (average size of $1.7 \pm 1.01 \mu m$) (b), and HCNT-3 fiber (average size of $0.5 \pm 0.29 \mu m$) (c). The sample number was 50 for each statistical analysis.



Figure S21. *J-V* curves of the FDSSCs using different counter electrodes.



Figure S22. SEM images of fiber photoanode at (a) low and (b) high resolution.



Figure S23. The schematic illustration of *J-V* measurement.

Table S1. EIS data in Figure 3c.

	$R_s/(\Omega \cdot cm^2)$	$R_{ct}/(\Omega \cdot cm^2)$	$Z_N/(\Omega \cdot cm^2)$
Carbon fiber	43.3	6.57	150.9
CNT fiber	7.9	0.44	141.4
HCNT fiber	6.7	0.38	84.3

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of the FDSSCs in Figure 3d.

	Voc/(V)	Jsc/(mA·cm ⁻²)	FF	PCE/(%)
Carbon fiber	0.773	11.14	0.63	5.43
CNT fiber	0.889	15.11	0.66	8.89
HCNT fiber	0.923	18.26	0.66	11.14
HCNT@Pt fiber	0.907	19.32	0.68	11.94

Table S3. Photovoltaic parameters of the FDSSCs with different Pt loadings.

Pt loadings/(mg/cm ²)	Voc/(V)	Jsc/(mA/cm ²)	FF	PCE/(%)
2.12	0.870	19.09	0.68	11.28
4.24	0.907	19.32	0.68	11.94
8.48	0.907	19.05	0.68	11.82

PVs Type	Cathode	Anode	PCE (%)	Reference
	HS-CNT fiber	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	11.94	This work
	Graphene fiber/Pt	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	8.45	[1]
	RGO/CNT fiber/Pt	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	8.50	[2]
	Pt wire	Multiple Ti-TiO ₂ NT	9.1	[3]
DSSC	Pt wire	Ti-TiO ₂ film-TiO ₂ NP	7.41	[4]
	CF@TiO2@MoS2	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	9.5	[5]
	Pt/CS-CNT fiber	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	10.00	[6]
	CF@PANI@CoSe	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	10.28	[7]
	Pt wire	Ti-TiO ₂ @PEOx	11.22	[8]
	PEDOT:PSS	SS-ZnO	2.3	[9]
	PEDOT:PSS	SS-ZnO	2.53	[10]
OSC	PEDOT:PSS	PEDOT:PSS Ti-TiO ₂ NP		[11]
	PEDOT:PSS	T:PSS Ti-TiO ₂ NT		[12]
	PEDOT:PSS	Ti-ZnO	1.62	[13]
	PEDOT:PSS	Graphene-ZnO	2.13	[14]
	OMeTAD	SS- TiO ₂ NP	3.3	[15]
	Spiro-OMeTAD	Ti-TiO ₂ NT	5.22	[16]
	CNT	PEN-TiO ₂	9.49	[17]
PSC	Spiro-OMeTAD	Ti-TiO ₂ NP	6.58	[18]
	Spiro-OMeTAD	Ti-TiO ₂ NP	7.53	[19]
	Spiro-OMeTAD	Ti-TiO ₂ NP	10.79	[20]
	P3HT	ETL free	7.49	[21]

Table S4. Comparison of PCEs for fiber solar cells to date.

Number of sample	Infiltration time for CNT fibers (s)	Infiltration time for HCNT fibers (s)
1	45	19
2	35	21
3	48	27
Average	42.7	22.3

	Table S5.	The	acetonitrile	infiltratio	on time	for	different	fiber	electrode
--	-----------	-----	--------------	-------------	---------	-----	-----------	-------	-----------

References

- Z. Yang, H. Sun, T. Chen, L. Qiu, Y. Luo, H. Peng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 7545-7548.
- [2] H. Sun, X. You, J. Deng, X. Chen, Z. Yang, J. Ren, H. Peng, Adv. Mater. 2014, 26, 2868-2873.
- [3] J. Liang, G. Zhang, W. Sun, P. Dong, *Nano Energy* **2015**, 12, 501-509.
- [4] W. Song, H. Wang, G. Liu, M. Peng, D. Zou, *Nano Energy* **2016**, 19, 1-7.
- [5] J. Liang, G. Zhu, C. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Zhu, Y. Hu, H. Lv, R. Chen, L. Ma, T. Chen, Z. Jin, J. Liu, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1601208.
- [6] X. Fu, H. Sun, S. Xie, J. Zhang, Z. Pan, M. Liao, L. Xu, Z. Li, B. Wang, X. Sun,
 H. Peng, J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 45-51.
- [7] J. Zhang, Z. Wang, X. Li, J. Yang, C. Song, Y. Li, J. Cheng, Q. Guan, B. Wang, ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2019, 2, 2870-2877.
- [8] R. E. A. Ardhi, M. X. Tran, M. Wang, G. Liu, J. K. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2020, 8, 2549-2562.
- [9] D. Y. Liu, M. Y. Zhao, Y. Li, Z. Q. Bian, L. H. Zhang, Y. Y. Shang, X. Y. Xia, S. Zhang, D. Q. Yun, Z. W. Liu, A. Y. Cao, C. H. Huang, *ACS Nano* 2012, 6, 11027-11034.
- [10] D. Liu, Y. Li, S. Zhao, A. Cao, C. Zhang, Z. Liu, Z. Bian, Z. Liu, C. Huang, *RSC Adv.* 2013, 3, 13720-13727.
- Z. Zhang, Z. Yang, Z. Wu, G. Guan, S. Pan, Y. Zhang, H. Li, J. Deng, B. Sun,
 H. Peng, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2014, 4, 1301750.
- [12] Z. Zhang, Z. Yang, J. Deng, Y. Zhang, G. Guan, H. Peng, Small 2015, 11, 675-680.
- [13] P. Liu, Z. Gao, L. Xu, X. Shi, X. Fu, K. Li, B. Zhang, X. Sun, H. Peng, J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 19947-19953.

- [14] M. Hilal, J. I. Han, *Electrochim. Acta* **2019**, 326, 134985.
- [15] L. Qiu, J. Deng, X. Lu, Z. Yang, H. Peng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10425-10428.
- [16] J. Deng, L. Qiu, X. Lu, Z. Yang, G. Guan, Z. Zhang, H. Peng, J. Mater. Chem. A 2015, 3, 21070-21076.
- [17] L. Qiu, S. He, J. Yang, F. Jin, J. Deng, H. Sun, X. Cheng, G. Guan, X. Sun, H. Zhao, H. Peng, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2016, 4, 10105-10109.
- B. Chen, S. Chen, B. Dong, X. Gao, X. Xiao, J. Zhou, J. Hu, S. Tang, K. Yan,
 H. Hu, K. Sun, W. Wen, Z. Zhao, D. Zou, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 2017, 4, 1700833.
- [19] H. Hu, B. Dong, B. Chen, X. Gao, D. Zou, Sustain. Energy Fuels 2018, 2, 79-84.
- [20] B. Dong, J. Hu, X. Xiao, S. Tang, X. Gao, Z. Peng, D. Zou, *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2019, 4, 1900131.
- [21] A. Balilonda, Q. Li, X. Bian, R. Jose, S. Ramakrishna, M. Zhu, F. Zabihi, S. Yang, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 410, 128384.